PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	2	2	JUN	2006
WIPC)			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
10064-1PCT								
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/IL04/01132	15 December 2004 (15.12.		11 January 2004 (11.01.2004)					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC								
IPC: G01B 11/00(2006.01),11/02(2006.01);B21B 31/34,37/68(2006.01) USPC: 356/625,635,638;72/16.9								
Applicant								
QUALITY BY VISION LTD.								
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.								
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:								
a. (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:								
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as								
indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))								
containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as								
indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).								
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:								
	asis of the report							
Box No. II P	riority							
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
l 1	ack of unity of invention							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step of industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
Box No. VI Certain documents cited								
Box No. VII C	Certain defects in the interr	ational application						
Box No. VIII C	Certain observations on the							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report						
09 February 2006 (09.02.2006)		26 May 2006 (26.05.2006)						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	/ US	Authorized officer LyCan Down Far						
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		Hoa Q. Pham						
P.O. Box 1450								
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201		Telephone No. (57)	1) 272-2426					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.	
DCT/IX 04/01122	

Box No. I Basis of the report
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on:
the international application in the language in which it was filed.
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
the international application as originally filed/furnished
the description:
pages 1-14 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
the claims:
pages 15-17 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
N-7
the drawings: pages 1-6 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
the description, pages_none
the claims, Nos_none
the drawings, sheets/figs_none
the sequence listing (specify):none
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):none
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
the description, pages
the claims, Nos
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IL04/01132

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	8-9	YE.
• • •		1-7 and 10-17	
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	8-9	YE
minute sup (15)		1-7 and 10-17	
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-17	YE
industrial ripproduction (174)		NONE	
Regarding claim 11, see column 2, line 3 Regarding claims 12-13, see abstract for a Regarding claims 12-13, see abstract for Regarding claim 2, Tampler et al does no seever, it would have been obvious to one having vice of Tampler et al to determine the gap between the seed of the	under PCT Artite explicitly teads ordinary skillen a chuck and at at the time the sm, mirrors or obvious to place	or thickness between two rollers is cle 33(3) as being obvious over Tan that the gap between a chuck and in the art at the time the invention roll in a seamer because the device clearly specify what type of the expinvention was made to use any king ptical fiber, as now claimed in the in Tampler et al a magnification s	ampler et al. I roll in a seamer is determined was made to use the basic would function in the same pander; however, it would have noted that suitable for present invention.
ovide a better signal received by the detector, thu Regarding claim 15, see column 2, line 2 Regarding claim 16, same as claim 2 above	1, for the use of		
NEW CITATIONS			